and rejections communicated by the examiner in the office action mailed September 22, 2004. Applicant argues that the claims as written and submitted transverse examiner's previous rejection based on the Moores' reference by cancellation of claims allowing less than two flexible straps connected to the anchor unit and the grip unit.

Furthermore, applicant argues that the cited Darling reference does not suggest or teach a support system with an anchor unit that rests outside the vehicle to provide load

REMARKS

support within the interior of the vehicle as taught by applicant.

Applicant submits that the application as now presented is believed in condition for allowance and action to that request is respectfully requested. Applicant requests that the examiner continue examination of the patent application and if applicable provide applicant with an examiner's amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

JOY VIREN MURPHY

Date: February 22, 2005

By: A Hamilton
Reg. No. 50,644

Law Office of Jay R. Hamilton, PLC Registered U.S. Patent Attorney 331 W. 3rd St. NewVentures Center Suite 100 Davenport, IA 52801 Tel (563) 441-0207 Fax (563) 823-4637

